# Biennial Survey of State Automated Traffic Enforcement Systems (Red Light and Speed Enforcement Cameras and Equipment) in Illinois during FY18

#### **Bureau of Safety Programs and Engineering (BSPE)**

The following is a summary of the state automated traffic enforcement systems survey, mainly Red Light Cameras (RLC) and Speed Cameras (SC) conducted by the Bureau of Safety Programs and Engineering (BSPE) at the Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT). The survey questionnaire was designed to gather information and data from the local jurisdictions and political subdivisions responsible for the administration of RLC and SC systems in Illinois. The survey questions included background information of the agency responsible for administering these cameras (name, location, population, types of camera and equipment), general information on the Federal DOT guideline, transparency, accountability, and safety attributes. Specifically, the survey focused on locations, violation, crash statistics, availability of data, procedural information, audits, and process for dispute resolution, and post installation safety and operational performance throughout FY18 (See **Appendix A** for a copy of survey questionnaire).

In January 2018, BSPE identified 309 potential local jurisdictions and political subdivisions that were responsible for all automated traffic enforcement related equipment. On January 24, 2018, each identified local jurisdiction was sent an email and a copy of the RLC and SC survey questionnaire. After several reminders, 163 (53 percent) questionnaires were returned. Of the total 163 jurisdictions, 67 of them reported that they had RLCs in their communities. In order to check the accuracy, consistency, and completeness of the sample, we matched the sample list against the list of 69 Illinois communities and jurisdictions reported by the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety (IIHS) at:

http://www.iihs.org/iihs/topics/laws/automated\_enforcement?topicName=red-lightrunning.

Out of the 69 IIHS communities with RLCs, only 59 jurisdictions reported to IDOT indicating that they had RLCs in their communities. A total of 10 of the 69 IIHS communities reported that they had no RLCs. Further, our sample includes eight additional jurisdictions, not identified in the IIHS database, with RLCs in their communities (See Table 1). It should be noted that the Illinois Compiled Statutes (ILCS) allows these systems only in eight counties: Cook, DuPage, Kane, Lake, Madison, McHenry, St. Clair and Will.

Overall, these **67** jurisdictions/political subdivisions reported **544** locations of RLC intersections. The City of Chicago is the only jurisdiction that has **151** locations of SC road segments.

Table 1: List o	of Jurisdictions	and Communities with Re		
List of Jurisdictions in both IIHS and Sample Collected by BSPE		Agencies listed on IIHS website that have no Red Light or Speed Cameras	Additional agencies that have Red Light Cameras, but were not listed on the IIHS website	
Addison	Melrose Park	Cook County	Burbank	
Alsip	Morton Grove	Countryside	Calumet Part	
Aurora	North Chicago	East St. Louis	Fox River Grove	
Bedford Park	North Riverside	Forest Park	Hillside	
Bellwood	Oak Forest	Lake in the Hills	Justice	
Bensenville	Oak Lawn	Lyons	Rolling Meadows	
Berwyn	Olympia Fields	Northfield	Rosemont	
Blue Island	Orland Park	Northlake	West Chicago	
Calumet City	Palatine	Warrenville		
Carol Stream	Palos Heights	Westmont Village		
Chicago	Palos Hills			
Country Club Hills	River Forest			
Des Plaines	Roselle			
East Dundee	Schiller Park			
Elk Grove Village	Skokie			
Fox Lake	South Chicago Heights			
Franklin Park	South Holland			
Granite City	Streamwood			
Gurnee	Tinley Park			
Highland Park	Villa Park			
Hoffman Estates	Wauconda			
Hometown	Waukegan			
Homewood	Westchester			
Kildeer	Western Springs			
Lake Zurich	Wheeling			
Libertyville	Wilowbrook			
Lincolnwood	Winfield			
Lisle	Worth			
Lynwood				
Markham				
Maywood				

### **Findings**

The following survey findings are broken down into four categories: General, Transparency, Accountability, and Safety Attributes.

#### General

- The population size of the **67** jurisdictions or political subdivisions where the RLCs are installed ranged from **580** in Bedford Park to **2.7 million** in the City of Chicago.
- All the RLC equipment are contracted or leased.
- **56** out of **67 (83.5 percent)** jurisdictions/political subdivisions reported that they referred to and followed FHWA "Red Light Camera Systems Operational Guidelines" when implementing their automated enforcement system. Additional information on **11** components of FHWA operational guidelines are shown in **Table 2**.

#### Transparency

- 95.5 percent of the jurisdictions/political subdivisions responded that the placement locations of automated enforcement are publically available on their website.
- **52.2** percent of the jurisdictions responded that information on automated enforcement revenue is publically available on their website.
- 23.9 percent of the jurisdictions/political subdivisions responded that disbursement of enforcement revenue is publically available on their website.
- **34.3 percent** of respondents indicated that the number of automated enforcement citations issued publically, are available on their website.
- Over 85 percent of the respondents indicated that there was a warning period before citations were issued. The majority of the respondents (about 42 percent) indicated that the warning period was 30 days.

#### Accountability

- **95.7**percent of jurisdictions responded that all citations were signed by sworn law enforcement officers.
- **95.5** percent of jurisdictions/political subdivisions reported that there was a process in place for dispute resolution.
- **79.1** percent of respondents indicated that their automated enforcement program was audited. Among those audited jurisdictions (**53** agencies), **45** (**84.9 percent**) indicated that their automated enforcement program was audited annually.

# Safety Attributes

- About 98.5 percent of the 67 jurisdictions reported that the traffic and safety data (engineering & crash) were utilized to determine placement of automated enforcement platforms.
- Over 97.0 percent of the 69 jurisdictions reported that they analyzed traffic safety data to determine their automated enforcement's impact on safety elements (i.e. crashes, speed, etc.).
- 61 out of 67 jurisdictions/political subdivisions (about 91.0 percent) reported they evaluate their post-installation safety and operational performance. About 64.2 percent of them reported they evaluate their post-installation safety and operational performance annually.

Table 2: Results of Biennial Survey of State Automated Traffic Enforcement Systems (Red Light Cameras) in Illinois							
Frequency and Percentage Distributions of Survey Questions							
Selected Questions	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent			
	Location Ty	pe					
Village	29	42.65	29	42.65			
City	39	57.35	68	100			
P	opulation Size of C	ity/Village					
580	1	1.49	. 1	1.49			
3,200	1	1.49	2	2.99			
3,968	1	1.49	3	4.48			
4,139	1	1.49	4	5.97			
4,200	1	1.49	5	7.46			
4,400	1	1.49	6	8.96			
4,854	1	1.49	7	10.45			
5,000	1	1.49	8	11.94			
6,672	1	1.49	9	13.43			
7,787	1	1.49	10	14.93			
8,540	1	1.49	11	16.42			
8,957	1	1.49	12	17.91			
9,159	1	1.49	13	19.40			
9,637	1	1.49	14	20.90			
10,459	1	1.49	15	22.39			
10,882	1	1.49	16	23.88			
11,172	1	1.49	17	25.37			
11,200	1	1.49	18	26.87			
12,500	1	1.49	19	28.36			
12,508	1	1.49	20	29.85			
12,590	1	1.49	21	31.34			
13,000	1	1.49	22	32.84			
13,758	1	1.49	23	34.33			
14,000	1	1.49	24	35.82			
16,000	1	1.49	25	37.31			
16,563	1	1.49	26	38.81			
17,410	1	1.49	27	40.30			
18,110	1	1.49	28	41.79			
18,500	1	1.49	29	43.28			
19,107	1	1.49	30	44.78			

Selected Questions	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent
19,600	1	1.49	31	46.2
	Population Size of C			
19,631	1	1.49	32	47.70
19,800	1	1.49	33	49.2
20,405	1	1.49	34	50.7
21,882	1	1.49	35	52.2
22,000	2	2.99	37	55.2
23,000	1	1.49	38	56.7
23,227	1	1.49	39	58.2
24,000	1	1.49	40	59.7
24,021	1	1.49	41	61.1
25,229	1	1.49	42	62.6
26,530	1	1.49	43	64.1
27,086	1	1.49	44	65.6
27,792	1	1.49	45	67.1
28,908	1	1.49	46	68.6
30,000	1	1.49	47	70.1
31,056	1	1.49	48	71.6
32,000	1	1.49	49	73.1
32,200	1	1.49	50	74.6
34,000	1	1.49	51	76.1
36,732	1	1.49	52	77.6
37,000	1	1.49	53	79.1
38,315	1	1.49	54	80.6
40,000	1	1.49	55	82.0
42,000	1	1.49	56	83.5
52,271	1	1.49	57	85.0
56,257	1	1.49	58	86.5
56,831	1	1.49	59	88.0
57,000	1	1.49	60	89.5
58,141	1	1.49	61	91.0
59,000	1	1.49	62	92.5
64,784	1	1.49	63	94.0
68,766	1	1.49	64	95.5
89,800	1	1.49	65	97.0
200,000	1	1.49	66	98.5
2,700,000	1	1.49	67	100.0

# **GENERAL**

Q2: Did the jurisdictional/political subdivision refer to and follow FHWA "Red Light Camera Systems Operational Guidelines" when implementing its automated enforcement system? If Yes, please check applicable boxes below.

enforcement system	n? If Yes, please cl	neck applicat	ole boxes bel	ow.
Frequency and P	ercentage Distribu	tions of Surv	ey Questions	3
Selected Questions	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent
Yes	56	83.58	56	83.58
Don't Know/NA	11	16.42	67	100
O2A: Invoc	tigating Intersectio	n Safaty (Ch	antor III)	
Yes	51	76.12	51	76.12
No	16	23.88	67	100
		20.00		100
Q2B	: Engineering Stud	y (Chapter III	)	
Yes	51	76.12	51	76.12
No	16	23.88	67	100
	neering Counterme	,	,	
Yes	47	70.15	47	70.15
No	20	29.85	67	100
	Q2D: Education (C	hapter IV)		
Yes	49	73.13	49	73.13
No	18	26.87	67	100
44444				
Q	2E: Enforcement (0	Chapter IV)		
Yes	52	77.61	52	77.61
No	15	22.39	67	100
Q2F: Ear	rly Planning and St	artup (Chapt	er V)	
Yes	50	74.63	50	74.63
No	17	25.37	67	100
	G: System Planning			
Yes	50	74.63	50	74.63
No	17	25.37	67	100
Q2H: Engineering Do	esian of Red Light	Camera Svet	ome (Chanto	r \/\
Yes	51	76.12	.ems (Chapte 51	76.12
No	16	23.88	67	100
	10	20.00	0,	100

Frequency and P	ercentage Distribu	tions of Surv	ey Questions	3
Selected Questions	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent
00/ 5 11:140			(OL ()	^
	mera System Insta			
Yes	52	77.61 22.39	52	77.61
No	15	22.39	67	100
Q2J: Ope	eration and Mainte	nance (Chap	ter V)	_
Yes	52	77.61	52	77.61
No	15	22.39	67	100
001/- 0 0	)h.li = lf = = 4i =		rs (Classifes V	
	Public Information a	***************************************		·
Yes	46	68.66	46	68.66
No	21	31.34	67	100
	TRANSPAREI	NCY		
Q3: Are placement location	ons of automated e	nforcement i	oublicly avail	able on a
G. 7 6 p	website?		·	
Yes	64	95.52	64	95.52
No	3	4.48	67	100
Q4: Is information regarding	g automated enford a website		iue publicly a	vailable on
Yes	35	52.24	35	52.24
No	32	47.76	67	100
Q5: Is information regarding			enue publicly	available
Yes	on a website	23.88	16	23.88
No	51	76.12	67	100
110	31	70.12	07	100
Q6: Is the number of autom	ated enforcement o	itations issu	led publicly a	vailable on
	a website?		. ,	
Yes	23	34.33	23	34.33
No	44	65.67	67	100
Q7: Upon deployment at	a specific location	is there a u	arning period	d hefore
wr. opon deployment at	citations are iss		arining perior	a neivie
Yes	57	85.07	57	85.07
No	10	14.93	67	100

~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Percentage Distribu			
Selected Questions	Frequency	<u> </u>	Frequency	Percent
	es to Q7, Number o	,		
0	13	19.4	13	19.4
1	28	41.79	41	61.19
2	9	13.43	50	74.63
3	1	1.49	51	76.12
4	2	2.99	53	79.1
7	1	1.49	54	80.6
14	6	8.96	60	89.55
15	2	2.99	62	92.54
20	5	7.46	67	100
	Q7B: If yes to Q7, H	ow Often?		
None	13	19.4	13	19.4
Day	14	20.9	27	40.3
Week	10	14.93	37	55.22
Month	30	44.78	67	100
		MANAGE AND		
	B: If yes to Q7, Hov			
0	13	19.4	13	19.4
7	1	1.49	14	20.9
14	13	19.4	27	40.3
15	2	2.99	29	43.28
20	5	7.46	34	50.75
21	1	1.49	35	52.24
28	2	2.99	37	55.22
30	28	41.79	65	97.01
60	2	2.99	67	100
	ACCOUNTABI	LITY		
Q8: Are citations review	ved and signed by a	sworn law e	enforcement	officer?
Yes	66	95.65	66	95.65
No	3	4.35	69	100
Ou le thore	a process in place f	or disputa ra	colution?	
				05.50
Yes	64	95.52	64	95.52
	3	4.48	67	100
		-7.70	01	100

Frequency and Per			_	,
Selected Questions	Frequency		Frequency	Percent
Q10: Is the auto	omated enforcen	nent program	audited?	
Yes	53	79.1	53	79.1
No	12	17.91	65	97.01
Don't Know/NA	2	2.99	67	100
	Q10A: If yes, Hov			
Six Months	3	4.48	3	4.48
Annually	45	67.16	48	71.64
Biennially	3	4.48	51	76.12
Don't Know	16	23.88	67	100
	·			
	SAFETY ATTRIE			
Q11: Is traffic and safety				ermine
-	automated enfo		forms?	
Yes	66	98.51	66	98.51
No	1	1.49	67	100
Q12: Does the jurisdictiona	l/political subdiv	rision analyze	e traffic safet	y data to
	l/political subdiv orcement's impa	rision analyze	e traffic safet	y data to
Q12: Does the jurisdictiona	l/political subdiv	rision analyze	e traffic safet	y data to
Q12: Does the jurisdictiona	l/political subdiv orcement's impa speed, etc.)	rision analyze ct on safety ?	e traffic safet elements (i.e	y data to . crashes,
Q12: Does the jurisdictiona determine its automated enfo	l/political subdivorcement's impaspeed, etc.) Frequency	rision analyze ct on safety )? Percent	e traffic safet elements (i.e Frequency	y data to . crashes, Percent 97.01
Q12: Does the jurisdictiona determine its automated enfo	I/political subdivorcement's impaspeed, etc.) Frequency 65	rision analyze ct on safety ?? Percent 97.01	e traffic safet elements (i.e Frequency 65	y data to . crashes,
Q12: Does the jurisdictional determine its automated enforces Yes No Q13: Does the jurisdictional	l/political subdivorcement's impaspeed, etc.) Frequency 65 2 /political subdiv	rision analyzo ct on safety ? Percent 97.01 2.99	e traffic safet elements (i.e Frequency 65 67 e the post-ins	y data to . crashes, Percent 97.01
Q12: Does the jurisdictional determine its automated enforces Yes No Q13: Does the jurisdictional	l/political subdivorcement's impaspeed, etc.) Frequency 65	rision analyzo ct on safety ? Percent 97.01 2.99	e traffic safet elements (i.e Frequency 65 67 e the post-ins	y data to . crashes, Percent 97.01
Q12: Does the jurisdictional determine its automated enforces Yes No Q13: Does the jurisdictional	l/political subdivorcement's impaspeed, etc.) Frequency 65 2 /political subdiv	rision analyzo ct on safety ? Percent 97.01 2.99	e traffic safet elements (i.e Frequency 65 67 e the post-ins	y data to . crashes,  Percent 97.01 100  stallation
Q12: Does the jurisdictional determine its automated enformated enformated.  Yes No  Q13: Does the jurisdictional safety a	l/political subdivorcement's impasseed, etc.) Frequency 65 2 /political subdivorand operational processes	rision analyze ct on safety ? Percent 97.01 2.99 ision evaluat performance	Frequency 65 67 e the post-ins	y data to . crashes, Percent 97.01
Q12: Does the jurisdictional determine its automated enformated enformated.  Yes  No  Q13: Does the jurisdictional safety and safety	l/political subdivorcement's impaspeed, etc.) Frequency 65 2 /political subdivorand operational periods	rision analyzo ct on safety )? Percent 97.01 2.99 ision evaluat performance 91.04 8.96	Frequency 65 67 e the post-ins	y data to . crashes,  Percent  97.01  100  stallation
Q12: Does the jurisdictional determine its automated enformated enformated.  Yes No  Q13: Does the jurisdictional safety and yes No  Q13: Does the jurisdictional safety and yes	l/political subdivorcement's impaspeed, etc.) Frequency 65 2 /political subdivorand operational part of 61 6 A: If Yes to Q13,	rision analyze ct on safety ? Percent 97.01 2.99 ision evaluat performance 91.04 8.96 how often	Frequency 65 67 e the post-ine? 61	y data to . crashes,  Percent 97.01 100 stallation 91.04
Q12: Does the jurisdictional determine its automated enformated enformated enformated.  Yes No  Q13: Does the jurisdictional safety and safety	I/political subdivorcement's impaspeed, etc.) Frequency 65 2 /political subdivorand operational perational per	rision analyzo ct on safety ? Percent 97.01 2.99 ision evaluat performance 91.04 8.96 how often 4.48	Frequency 65 67 e the post-ins	y data to crashes, Percent 97.01 100 stallation 91.04
Q12: Does the jurisdictional determine its automated enformated enformated enformated.  Yes No  Q13: Does the jurisdictional safety and safety	I/political subdivorcement's impaspeed, etc.) Frequency 65 2  /political subdivorand operational part of the company of the co	rision analyze ct on safety ? Percent 97.01 2.99 ision evaluate performance 91.04 8.96 how often 4.48 1.49	Frequency 65 67 e the post-ine? 61	y data to . crashes,  Percent 97.01 100 stallation 91.04 100 4.48 5.97
Q12: Does the jurisdictional determine its automated enformation determine its automated enformation.  Yes No  Q13: Does the jurisdictional safety at the sa	I/political subdivorcement's impaspeed, etc.) Frequency 65 2 /political subdivorand operational perational per	rision analyzo ct on safety ? Percent 97.01 2.99 ision evaluat performance 91.04 8.96 how often 4.48 1.49 64.18	Frequency 65 67 e the post-ine 67	y data to . crashes,  Percent 97.01 100 stallation 91.04 100 4.48 5.97 70.15
Q12: Does the jurisdictional determine its automated enformated enformated enformated.  Yes No  Q13: Does the jurisdictional safety and safety	I/political subdivorcement's impaspeed, etc.) Frequency 65 2  /political subdivorand operational part of the company of the co	rision analyze ct on safety ? Percent 97.01 2.99 ision evaluate performance 91.04 8.96 how often 4.48 1.49	e traffic safet elements (i.e  Frequency 65 67  e the post-in: 7 61 67	y data to . crashes,  Percent 97.01 100 stallation 91.04

# Appendix A: A copy of Survey Questionnaire



# Biennial Survey of State Automated Traffic Enforcement Systems



FIXING AMERICA'S SURFACE TRANSPORTATION (FAST) US CODE Title 23; Public Law 114-94, Title IV - Highway Safety § 4002 - Special Funding Conditions for Section 402 Grants

Name of Jurisdiction/Political Subdivisio	n		Type of Government Entity (city, state,	etc.)	Population	
Speed Enforcement Camera and Equi	ipment		Red Light Camera and Equipment			
☐ Jurisdiction-owned			☐ Jurisdiction-owned			
Contracted/leased		Contracted/leased				
☐ No speed enforcement cameras			☐ No red light cameras			
Please provide a list of intersections/road	dway segme	nts, and direction	of travel enforced (see Page 2).			
		GENE	RAL			
	lelines" when sed Camera (	implementing its <u>Guidelines</u>	o and follow federal DOT "Speed Enfor automated enforcement system? If Yestice Receipt and Adjudication tice Processing and Delivery			
☐ Operations		☐ Program Ev	aluation			
	n implementing Systems On apter III)	g its automated e perational Guidel System Plar Engineering Red Light C Operation a	o and follow FHWA "Red Light Camera inforcement system? If Yes, please che ines ining (Chapter V) Design of Red Light Camera Systems amera System Installation (Chapter V) and Maintenance (Chapter V) ublic Information and Education (Chap	eck ap	plicable boxes below.	
	<b>v</b> )	TRANSPA	ARENCY			
Speed Enforcement Camera Yes	No 3.	Are placement lo	cations of automated enforcement pub	olicly a	vailable on a website?	
Red Light Camera Yes	No	Are placement lo	cations of automated enforcement pub	olicly a	vailable on a website?	
Insert Hyperlink Here:						
Speed Enforcement Camera Yes	No4.	Is information reg website?	garding automated enforcement revenu	re bnp	licly available on a	
Red Light Camera Yes	No	Is information requestite?	garding automated enforcement revenu	ue pub	licly available on a	
Insert Hyperlink Here:						
Speed Enforcement Camera Yes	☐ No <sup>5.</sup>	Is information requestite?	garding the disbursement of this revenu	ue pub	olicly available on a	
Red Light Camera Yes	No	Is information requestite?	garding the disbursement of this revenu	ue pub	ilicly available on a	
Insert Hyperlink Here:						

Speed Enforcement Camer	ra Yes	☐ No	6.	Is the number of automated enforcement citations issued publicly available on a website?				
Red Light Camera	∑ Yes	☐ No		Is the number of automated enforcement citations issued publicly available on a website?				
Insert Hyperlink Here	e:	<i>.</i>						
Speed Enforcement Camer	a Yes	☐ No	7.	Upon deployment are issued?	at a specific location,	is there a warning	period before citations	
Red Light Camera	∑ Yes	☐ No		Upon deployment are issued?	at a specific location,	is there a warning	period before citations	
				ACCOUNTA	ABILITY			
Speed Enforcement Camer	ra 🗌 Yes	☐ No	8.	Are citations revie	wed and signed by a	sworn law enforcer	ment officer?	
Red Light Camera	Yes	No		Are citations revie	wed and signed by a	sworn law enforcer	ment officer?	
Speed Enforcement Camer	a 🗌 Yes	☐ No	9.	Is there a process	in place for dispute r	esolution?		
Red Light Camera	Yes	☐ No		Is there a process	in place for dispute r	esolution?		
Speed Enforcement Camer	a Yes	☐ No	10.	Is the automated	enforcement program	audited?		
Red Light Camera	X Yes	☐ No		Is the automated	enforcement program	audited?		
				SAFETY ATT	RIBUTES			
Speed Enforcement Camer	a  Yes	☐ No	11.		y data (engineering & orcement platforms?	crash) utilized to d	letermine placement	
Red Light Camera	Yes	☐ No			y data (engineering & rcement platforms?	crash) utilized to d	letermine placement	
Speed Enforcement Camer	a  Yes	☐ No	12.				safety data to determine its crashes, speed, etc.)?	
Red Light Camera	Yes	☐ No		•	•	•	eafety data to determine its crashes, speed, etc.)?	
Speed Enforcement Camer	a  Yes	☐ No	13.		onal/political subdivis erformance? If yes, ho		st-installation safety	
Red Light Camera	∑ Yes	☐ No			onal/political subdivis		st-installation safety	
Road/Street Name	Route Juris	sdiction		ntersection or adway Segment	Speed Enforcement Camera System	Red Light Camera System	Direction of Travel Enforced (N, S, E, W)	
-								
-								
-								
Add Row								
	Da	ta Record	ed by (I	⊃rint Name)			Date	